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Summary:

The poster involves 5 parts. First four parts are quite nice. The data and the model logic are clearly described with good written style. For the model tuning and result, the input data dimension is 64\*60 rather than 64. Also, out of time accuracy should keep more decimal place to give a better comparison.

Strengths:

The result part gives a clear summary table showing the performance between model with different tuning methods.

Weaknesses:

As mentioned in the summary, out of time accuracy should keep more decimal place to give a better comparison.

Clarity and writing:

The poster is written with clear logic and format. Each part use bullet points to give a clear description.

Technical quality:

The CNN architecture is shown clearly, with very detailed parameter. Same for the loss function and optimizer.